

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,472	07/29/2003	Hideyuki Suzuki	4041K-000142	7304	
27572 7	7590 05/13/2005		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, I P.O. BOX 828	DICKEY & PIERCE,	TRAN, LEN			
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1725		

DATE MAILED: 05/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/629,472	SUZUKI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Len Tran	1725		

		Len Tran		1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	ion appea	ars on the co	ver sheet with th	e correspondence add	Iress
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE T				•	
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prio					action applicant
must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (v Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1	in amendr with appea 1.114. The	ment, affidavit al fee) in comp e reply must be	or other evidence of the other evidence of the or other evidence of the othe	e, which places the app FR 41.31; or (3) a Reque	lication in est for Continued
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the m	ailing date	of the final reject	ction.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dat no event, however, will the statutory period for rep	oly expire la	iter than SIX MC	ONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either be TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	e MPEP 70	6.07(f).			•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	ate of the s Office later	hortened statute than three mon	ory period for reply	originally set in the final Off	ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice was filed on A brief in compliance with 3' Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there has been filed, any reply must be filed within the 	7 CFR 41 eof (37 C	.37 must be fi FR 41.37(e)),	led within two mo to avoid dismissa	nths of the date of filing all of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final re (a) They raise new issues that would require fi	urther cor	nsideration and			ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see Note)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application		•	peal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without can NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	_	corresponding	number of finally	rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37		21. See attach	ed Notice of Non-	-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej			34 1101100 01 11011		(* . 02 02 1).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo non-allowable claim(s).			nitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendme how the new or amended claims would be rejected 				will be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows		idea below of	арреписи.		
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		-			•
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final a because applicant failed to provide a showing of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e) 	good and				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence for showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is not a sufficient reasons.	failed to ov	vercome all re	jections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expense of the control of the					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been consinued in the Applicant argues in page 2, 3 rd paragraph is not indicates that Flemings et al fail to teach an upper page 2.	t persuasi per movea	ive, and theref able and a low	ore claims 1-3 ar er mold. Examin	nd 5-9 remain rejected. er respectfully disagrees	Applicant s, since it is
clearly in figure 1, that the mold is in an upright rods. Figures 2b and 2c are shown in sectional					
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater					
13. Other:	., ((
		() 5	11/05	AU1725	
			1 1		